

Economics of Transformative AI Research Sprint

With

BlueDot Impact & Apart Research

Title: The Rate of AI Adoption and Its Implications for Economic Growth and Disparities.

Members: Ann-Christin Klein, Akshay Kanthed, Catarina Badi, Srijita Chakraborty, Vaishnavi Singh

Abstract

This study explores the economic implications of AI adoption, focusing on its potential to drive productivity and economic growth while also exacerbating income inequality and regional disparities. The research investigates factors influencing AI adoption rates and the emerging disparities across industries, concluding with policy recommendations aimed at mitigating these effects through targeted adoption strategies and workforce upskilling initiatives.

Keywords: AI adoption, productivity, economic growth, labor markets, income inequality, regional disparities, innovation.

Introduction

As AI technologies reshape industries, understanding how their adoption influences economic growth and labor markets is crucial. This study investigates the broader economic implications of AI adoption, emphasizing the impact of adoption rates on productivity, income inequality, and regional economic disparities. We aim to uncover the underlying factors driving AI adoption across sectors and propose recommendations to address its unequal effects on various industries.

Methods

This research integrates several foundational economic theories to explore the implications of AI adoption on firm-level productivity, economic growth, and labor markets. The core models we utilize are the **Solow Growth Model**, which helps explain the role of technological innovation in long-term economic growth, and **Rogers' Diffusion of Innovation Theory**, which provides insights into the factors that drive the spread of innovation across industries. These theories offer a solid conceptual framework for understanding the broader dynamics of AI adoption, but we also extend them to account for specific challenges posed by AI technologies.

Solow Growth Model and Technological Innovation

Building upon Solow Growth Model, we begin by extending the traditional firm production function to account for technological innovations, specifically the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI). To capture the effects of AI more accurately, we introduce an additional variable a , which represents the degree of automation within an industry. This allows us to account for both incremental labor-augmenting innovations and radical AI-driven automation. The revised production function becomes:

$$y = f(k, al, a)$$

Where:

- $y = output$

Economics of Transformative AI Research Sprint

With

BlueDot Impact & Apart Research

- $k = \text{capital}$
- $al = \text{effective labor}$ (representing incremental innovations and non-automated tasks¹). (For fully automated industries, $al = 0$)
- $a = \text{level of automation}$ (representing radical innovations) (for fully automated industries, $a = 1$) (for partially automated industries², $0 < a < 1$).

This adjustment enables us to study how AI adoption (in the form of automation) interacts with capital and labor to shape firm-level productivity.

Roger's Diffusion of Innovation Theory

Next, we incorporate Everett Rogers' Diffusion of Innovation Theory, which explains how innovations spread across societies and industries. According to Rogers, adoption is influenced by five key factors:

- **Relative Advantage:** The perceived benefits of AI over existing technologies.
- **Compatibility:** How well AI aligns with existing processes and business models.
- **Complexity:** The perceived difficulty in understanding and implementing AI.
- **Trialability:** The ability to experiment with AI on a small scale.
- **Observability:** The visibility of AI's benefits in early adopting firms.

We use this framework to examine the variability in AI adoption across different industries. For example, industries that are already familiar with technology (like IT) are likely to perceive AI as having a higher relative advantage, making them more likely to adopt it early. On the other hand, industries that are less technologically advanced may face more barriers related to compatibility and complexity, delaying adoption.

AI-Specific Factors

While the general diffusion model is useful, there are several AI-specific factors that influence adoption rates. These factors are not directly captured in the earlier models but play a crucial role in determining the pace at which industries adopt AI:

1. **Maturity of AI Technologies:** Early-stage AI technologies are often viewed with skepticism, especially in industries that require proven, reliable solutions. The maturity of AI plays a significant role in adoption, as firms wait for technologies to stabilize and demonstrate clear returns on investment.
2. **Cost:** The high initial costs of implementing AI technologies, particularly for industries outside of IT, can serve as a major barrier. This factor influences the willingness of firms to adopt AI, as smaller firms and non-tech sectors may struggle to bear these costs.
3. **Compatibility with Existing Systems:** AI technologies need to integrate seamlessly into existing business models. In industries where processes are deeply entrenched, the lack of compatibility with current systems can slow down the adoption process, as firms resist change or require substantial investments to make AI-compatible solutions work.

¹ In practice, some tasks may blend human work and AI assistance. In this model, al includes tasks primarily performed by humans, even if assisted by AI.

² Partial automation reflects tasks where AI handles a share of the work, but not full replacement.

Economics of Transformative AI Research Sprint

With

BlueDot Impact & Apart Research

4. Labor Readiness: The readiness of a workforce to engage with AI tools plays a critical role in adoption. If the labor force lacks the necessary skills to work with AI technologies, firms may face challenges in implementing AI. This is particularly relevant in non-tech industries where employees may require upskilling.
5. Differences Between SMEs and Large Enterprises: Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are often more agile and willing to adopt new technologies to maintain a competitive edge. However, financial constraints can limit their ability to invest in expensive AI systems. In contrast, larger firms are generally more cautious, often requiring more substantial evidence of AI's potential ROI before committing to adoption.

A New Model for Regional Disparities in AI Adoption

Building on the Solow model, we introduce AI adoption rates into the aggregate production function to explore regional disparities in AI adoption. This is particularly important in understanding how uneven adoption rates across regions and industries can contribute to economic divides.

The regional production function is modeled as:

$$Y = F(K, AL, a, A(t))$$

Where:

- $Y = \text{output}$
- $K = \text{capital}$
- $AL = \text{effective labor}$
- $a = \text{level of automation}$
- $A(t) = \text{level of AI adoption}$

To model the diffusion of AI adoption, we use a logistic function to capture the spread of AI across firms:

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-r(t-T)}}$$

Where:

- $A(t) = \text{proportion of firms adopting AI}$
- $r = \text{rate of adoption}$
- $T = \text{inflection point where adoption accelerates.}$

Finally, to study regional disparities, we adapt the model by aggregating over industries with varying adoption rates:

$$Y_{total} = \sum_i w_i \times F[K_i, AL_i, a_i, A_i(t)]$$

Economics of Transformative AI Research Sprint

With

BlueDot Impact & Apart Research

Where:

- w_i = *weight (share of GDP) of industry i .*
- $A_i(t)$ = *proportion of firms that have adopted AI in industry i .*

This model allows us to investigate how differing AI adoption rates across regions and industries lead to disparities in productivity and income.

Results

The analysis indicates that AI adoption can significantly enhance productivity at both firm and national levels. However, the rate of adoption is influenced by several factors, including costs, compatibility with existing systems, and the maturity of AI technologies. Early adopters in the tech industry stand to benefit the most, experiencing greater productivity and higher profits. In contrast, industries such as healthcare, education, and retail are slower to adopt, potentially widening economic disparities. Additionally, AI adoption could lead to labor displacement, especially in operational sectors³, leading to higher unemployment and wage stagnation.

Discussion and Conclusion

The results of this study highlight the dual nature of AI adoption. On the one hand, AI could foster significant productivity growth, reduce production costs, and create new industries. On the other, it could exacerbate income inequality and regional disparities. Companies in tech-heavy sectors will likely be the primary beneficiaries, while workers in less technologically advanced industries lag.

Governments must act to ensure equitable distribution of AI benefits. Policies should include targeted AI adoption incentives for non-tech industries, such as funding research on AI's benefits and providing guidance on integration. Upskilling programs for workers, particularly in non-tech sectors, will help bridge the gap in tech skills. Additionally, governments should invest in AI-specific grants for startups and reduce bureaucratic barriers to foster innovation outside the tech sector. These proactive measures will help prevent AI adoption from exacerbating regional and industry-based disparities.

Recommendation

To enhance and validate the theoretical models developed in this research, we recommend that future studies gather empirical data on AI adoption rates, firm-level productivity growth, and labor market dynamics. These data sources would help validate theoretical predictions and provide deeper insights into how AI adoption affects different industries over time. By incorporating real-world data, researchers would be able to assess the practical impacts of AI adoption and refine the conclusions drawn from theoretical analysis.

Limitations

Lack of Empirical Data:

³ Operational sectors are machine-intensive, with human labor focused on support and supervision.

Economics of Transformative AI Research Sprint

With

BlueDot Impact & Apart Research

- The study primarily relies on theoretical models (Solow Growth Model, Rogers' Diffusion of Innovation Theory).
- Due to lack of real-world data on AI adoption rates and firm-level outcomes, the results are conceptual rather than definitive.

Need for Empirical Testing of Factors Influencing AI Adoption:

- While the theoretical models presented offer a broad understanding of AI adoption and its potential effects on economic disparities, they do not quantify the specific impact of each factor influencing AI adoption.
- Future research could create an econometric model to empirically test how factors like cost, maturity, labor readiness, and compatibility each contribute to the rate of AI adoption across different industries and regions. This would provide more concrete evidence of which factors are the most significant drivers of adoption and how they vary across contexts.

References

Jones, C. I. (2011). Introduction to economic growth (3rd ed.). Norton.

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (n.d.). What is productivity? Retrieved April 24, 2025, from <https://www.bls.gov/k12/productivity-101/content/what-is-productivity/>

Kahn, K. B. (2018). Understanding innovation. Business Horizons, 61(3), 453–460.

Kurz, H. D. (2013). Innovation, knowledge and growth: Adam Smith, Schumpeter and the moderns (Chapters 2 & 3). Routledge.

Rogers, E. M. (1983). Diffusion of innovations (3rd ed.). The Free Press.

Google Cloud. (n.d.). What is artificial intelligence? Retrieved April 27, 2024, from <https://cloud.google.com/learn/what-is-artificial-intelligence>

Google Cloud. (n.d.). What is artificial general intelligence? Retrieved April 27, 2024, from <https://cloud.google.com/discover/what-is-artificial-general-intelligence>

Malerba, F., & Orsenigo, L. (1995). Schumpeterian patterns of innovation. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 19(1), 47–65. Oxford University Press.

Irina. (n.d.). MATH 1311 notes (PDF). University of Houston. Retrieved April 27, 2024, from <https://www.math.uh.edu/~irina/MATH1311/Notes1311/1311S51.pdf>

Additional Materials

Full Project: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1o7ebcoiwBKM4AnSI6PIluF-c_fBjWg5r/view?usp=sharing

Note: ChatGPT (GPT-4) was used to assist in summarizing and formatting according to the template.