

Data as Capital in TAI Economies: A Biomorphic Framework

With
BlueDot Impact & Apart Research

Abstract

This research introduces a novel theoretical framework for understanding data's role in Transformative Artificial Intelligence (TAI) economies. Traditional economic models inadequately capture data's unique properties in TAI systems: its non-rivalry, increasing returns, variable depreciation, and context-dependent value. We develop a "biomorphic framework" that conceptualizes data as both economic capital and a quasi-biological entity, drawing from economics, biology, quantum physics, and complex systems theory. Through case studies in financial markets and healthcare, we demonstrate the framework's explanatory power and derive policy implications for data governance that account for data's unique properties in advanced AI systems.

Keywords: *Transformative AI; data economics; biomorphic framework; data governance; non-equilibrium economics; digital ecosystems*

1. Introduction

In TAI economies, data has become the primary productive asset powering algorithmic decision-making and autonomous systems. However, traditional economic frameworks struggle to explain data's behaviour because they were developed for physical resources in industrial economies. Unlike physical capital, data can be used simultaneously by multiple entities, often appreciates with use, and generates increasing returns when processed by TAI systems.

Current economic models fail to capture these phenomena because they:

- Treat data as homogeneous rather than recognizing its context-dependent value
- Ignore data's evolutionary properties, i.e. its ability to be recombined and transformed by TAI
- Apply equilibrium-based models to markets that operate in perpetual disequilibrium

The biomorphic framework reconceptualizes data in TAI economies by integrating insights from biology, quantum physics, and complex systems theory. This approach better explains data's economic properties and provides a foundation for effective governance policies.

2. Why Traditional Economic Models Fail

Unlike physical capital, data is non-rivalrous—its use by one entity doesn't prevent simultaneous use by others. This fundamentally alters supply dynamics, as data can be replicated at near-zero marginal cost, creating positive externalities that classical models struggle to accommodate.

Increasing Rather Than Diminishing Returns

Economic theory typically assumes diminishing marginal returns, but data in TAI systems often exhibits increasing returns. As datasets grow larger and more diverse, TAI can identify more complex patterns and generate more valuable insights. Language models like GPT-4 demonstrate performance improvements that accelerate rather than plateau as training data expands.

Variable and Context-Dependent Depreciation

Data's depreciation follows no fixed pattern. Some datasets gain value when processed by TAI systems, some depreciate rapidly when consumer preferences change, and others face sudden obsolescence due to regulatory changes. TAI systems can even synthesize new data from existing datasets, effectively reversing depreciation.

Emergent Value Through Combination

When datasets merge, they often create value greater than the sum of their parts. Combining retail purchase data with weather data reveals consumption patterns undetectable in either dataset alone. This emergent value resists standard additive valuation methods and challenges traditional approaches to property rights.

Complex Adaptive System Dynamics

Data markets increasingly resemble complex adaptive systems rather than traditional markets approaching equilibrium. They feature path dependency, emergent behaviour, punctuated equilibria, and self-organization—dynamics that challenge equilibrium-based economic models.

3. Key Innovations in Understanding Data as Capital

Extended Capital Theory

Data exhibits unique properties compared to traditional capital:

- Combinatorial value creation: Merging datasets produces insights unavailable from individual datasets, like epistasis in genetics
- Emergent value discovery: TAI systems discover unexpected applications for data, creating value propositions human analysts might never identify
- Non-linear productivity growth: The same dataset processed by increasingly sophisticated algorithms yields progressively greater insights and value

Biological Metaphors for Data

Data ecosystems display striking parallels to biological systems:

- Digital DNA: Data structures encode patterns that determine how information behaves and evolves within TAI systems
- Fitness functions: Economic and algorithmic pressures select for data characteristics that enhance utility and propagation
- Mutation and evolution: TAI systems transform existing data through imputation, augmentation, fusion, abstraction, and compression, creating novel "data species"

Quantum-Inspired Valuation

Data's fluid, context-dependent value resembles quantum phenomena:

- Superposition: Data exists in multiple potential value states until applied in specific contexts
- Collapse function: Value "collapses" differently depending on the application context
- Entanglement: Datasets become interdependent when their values connect through TAI processing

Symbiotic Human-TAI-Data Systems

Humans, TAI systems, and data form symbiotic relationships that co-evolve:

- Obligate dependencies: Some industries cannot function without all three components
- Facultative dependencies: Other sectors benefit from TAI-data symbiosis but could function without it
- Coevolution dynamics: Each component influences the others' evolution through feedback loops

Non-Equilibrium Economics

TAI-data economies operate far from equilibrium:

- Perpetual disequilibrium: Continuous innovation, data generation, and application discovery prevent market stabilization
- Path dependency: Initial advantages in data accumulation often determine long-term market outcomes
- Self-organizing patterns: Despite disequilibrium, predictable structures emerge without central coordination

Data Scarcity in an Age of Abundance

While data appears abundant in TAI economies, our framework reveals nuanced forms of scarcity that shape economic dynamics:

- Quality scarcity: As data volume increases, high-quality, well-structured data becomes relatively scarcer and more valuable
- Contextual scarcity: Data relevant to specific domains or use cases may remain scarce despite general data abundance
- Temporal scarcity: Recent or real-time data often carries premium value compared to historical data
- Access scarcity: Artificial constraints through legal protections, technical barriers, or market concentration create scarcity in otherwise abundant data
- Attention scarcity: As data proliferates, algorithmic and human attention becomes the scarce resource for extracting value

These forms of scarcity coexist with data's non-rivalrous nature, creating complex market dynamics where certain data types command premium prices despite theoretical abundance. TAI systems both alleviate and exacerbate these scarcities: they can synthesize missing data but also increase demand for specific data types needed for training or fine-tuning.

Traditional economic models struggle with this paradox of simultaneous abundance and scarcity. The biomorphic framework better explains these dynamics by recognizing that, like biological ecosystems, data environments can contain both abundant resources and critical limiting factors that determine system growth and development.

4. Case Studies

Financial Markets

- Evolutionary competition: Trading algorithms evolve through natural selection, with profitability as the fitness function
- Flash crashes: Interactions between TAI trading systems produce emergent behaviors unpredictable from individual components
- Value superposition: Financial data simultaneously serves trading, risk modeling, compliance, and research functions

Healthcare

- Data mutation: Patient data undergoes continuous transformation as it moves through healthcare systems
- Symbiotic diagnosis: Clinicians, TAI systems, and patient data create diagnostic capabilities exceeding what either humans or TAI could achieve independently
- Multi-domain value: Patient data simultaneously holds clinical, research, commercial, public health, and educational value

5. Policy and Governance Implications

Reimagining Property Rights

Traditional property rights assume clear boundaries and exclusive control, but data requires tailored approaches:

- Infrastructure data: Commons-based governance maximizes social welfare for essential datasets
- Personal data: Fiduciary models separate control rights from use rights
- Industrial data: Time-limited exclusivity balances innovation incentives with preventing harmful monopolization

Balancing Excludability and Innovation

Data governance must navigate the tension between control and innovation:

- Innovation-excludability frontier: The optimal balance varies by data type and context
- Managed data pools: Controlled sharing structures preserve incentives while enabling collaboration
- Graduated access models: Align excludability with innovation stages, from development through maturity

Multi-Stakeholder Governance

Data's multi-faceted nature requires diverse perspectives:

- Key stakeholders: Data creators, subjects, processors, and public interest representatives
- Governance structures: Data trusts, cooperatives, industry consortia, and public-private partnerships

Adaptive Regulation

Traditional regulatory approaches struggle with rapidly evolving TAI-data systems:

- Regulatory feedback loops: Continuous monitoring and adjustment mechanisms
- Regulatory sandboxes: Controlled environments for testing innovative data uses
- Outcome-based standards: Focus on desired outcomes rather than specific technologies

6. Discussion and Conclusion

The biomorphic framework offers critical insights for understanding data in TAI economies:

- Data behaves fundamentally differently from traditional capital and requires new economic models
- TAI systems transform data into evolving digital organisms with properties like biological entities
- Value creation occurs through processes resembling mutation, recombination, and natural selection
- Effective governance requires adaptive, multi-stakeholder approaches balancing innovation with appropriate controls
- TAI-data economies operate in perpetual disequilibrium, making stability-focused regulations ineffective

By recognizing data's unique nature as both capital and a quasi-biological entity, we can develop governance approaches that harness its economic potential while respecting social values. This framework provides theoretical foundations for a data economy that is not only productive but also inclusive, sustainable, and aligned with human flourishing.

The future of TAI economies requires not just technological innovation but conceptual innovation—new ways of understanding value creation, ownership, and governance in digital ecosystems. The biomorphic framework represents a step toward this new understanding.

7. References

Corrado, C. et al. (2022), "Measuring data as an asset: Framework, methods and preliminary estimates", *OECD Economics Department Working Papers*, No. 1731, OECD Publishing, Paris

Mitchell, J., D. Ker and M. Leshner (2021), "Measuring the economic value of data", *OECD Going Digital Toolkit Notes*, No. 20, OECD Publishing, Paris

Ciuriak, Dan and Ptashkina, Maria, *The State Also Rises: The Role of the State in the Age of Data* (June 2020). Ciuriak Consulting Conference Paper, June 2020

Ciuriak, Dan, *On Economic Strategy in the Data-Driven Economy* (September 12, 2018).

Ciuriak, Dan, *The Economics of Data: Implications for the Data-Driven Economy* (February 4, 2018). Chapter 2 in "Data Governance in the Digital Age," Centre for International Governance Innovation

Chen, Lurong and Cheng, Wallace and Ciuriak, Dan and Kimura, Fukunari and Nakagawa, Junji and Pomfret, Richard and Rigoni, Gabriela and Schwarzer, Johannes, *The Digital Economy for Economic Development: Free Flow of Data and Supporting Policies* (March 29, 2019). Policy Brief 4, Task Force 8: Trade, Investment and Globalization, T20 Japan 2019

Ciuriak, Dan (2018). *Digital trade : is data treaty-ready?*. Waterloo, Ontario : Centre for International Governance Innovation.

Farboodi, Maryam, and Laura Veldkamp. *A model of the data economy*. No. w28427. Cambridge, MA, USA: National Bureau of Economic Research, 2021.

Farboodi, Maryam, et al. "Where has all the data gone?." *The Review of Financial Studies* 35.7 (2022): 3101-3138.

Savona, Maria. "The value of data: Towards a framework to redistribute it." *SPRU Working Paper Series* 2019 (2019).